

UNITED STATES ARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

STATES OF Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

WM02/0529

09/211,677 12/14/98 LEE H

EXAMINER

SONG K. JUNG LONG ALDRIDGE AND NORMAN, LLP SIXTH FLOOR 701 PENNSYLVANIA AVE N.W WASHINGTON DC 20004 NGUYEN, K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2674

DATE MAILED:

05/29/01

8733D-7153

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action		Application No. Applicant(s)		
			•	
		09/211,677 Examiner	LEE, HYUN CHANG Art Unit	
		Kovin M. Nauvon		
The MAILING DATE of this	communication appe	Kevin M. Nguyen ears on the cover sheet with the co	2674 prrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, 				
whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if simely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:				
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
6.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the reasons cited in the Final Office action.				
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from cons	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
9. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on a)☐has b)☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.				
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1445) Pager No(s)				
11. Other:		RICHARD HJERPE	Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner	
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Art Unit: 2674	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600
Advisory Action